Studying the Engineering Student Experience: Design of a Longitudinal Study

Sheri Sheppard, Cynthia Atman, Reed Stevens, Lorraine Fleming, Ruth Streveler, Robin Adams, Theresa Barker

Stanford University/University of Washington/ University of Washington/ Howard University/Colorado School of Mines/University of Washington/ University of Washington





Introduction

- NEED
 - Study how students become engineers and learn critical engineering skills
 - ➤ Investigate the student's perspective

- Academic Pathways Study (APS)
 - Research conducted by the Center for Advancement in Education (CAEE)

Objectives of this work

Provide a comprehensive account of how students become engineers:

- ➤ How student engineering knowledge changes over time
- How education varies across populations and institutions (e.g., gender, ethnicity, geographic location)
- Misalignments between student learning and workplace needs

Research questions

• SKILLS:

- How do students' engineering skills and knowledge develop and/or change over time?
- ➤ How do engineering <u>students' technology skills</u> compare with those of <u>professionals</u>?
- ➤ Difficult concepts
 - What concepts are difficult for students to learn?
 - Why are these concepts difficult?
 - How can we <u>measure</u> students' understanding of these concepts?

Research questions (cont.)

IDENTITY

How do students come to <u>identify</u> themselves as engineers?

How does student <u>appreciation</u>, <u>confidence</u>, <u>and</u> <u>commitment to engineering change</u> as they navigate their education?

Research questions (cont.)

• EDUCATION:

What elements of students' engineering educations contribute to <u>changes</u> observed in <u>skill and identity development</u>?

What do students <u>find difficult</u> and how do they <u>deal with the difficulties</u> they face?

Research questions (cont.)

• WORKPLACE:

What skills do early career engineers need as they enter the workplace?

- ➤ Where did they <u>obtain</u> these skills?
- Are there any missing skills?

APS Methodology

- Focus on engineering students
- Four cohorts
 - Cohort 1 Longitudinal (freshmen junior)
 - Cohort 2 Longitudinal (senior workplace)
 - Cohort 3 surveys at 4 campuses
 - Cohort 4 surveys at other campuses
- Cross-institutional (4 campuses)
- Multiple research methods

Cross-institutional

- Four campuses:
 - ► U. of Washington (large public Research I)
 - Stanford University (large private Research I)
 - ➤ Howard University (*HBCU Research I*)
 - Colorado School of Mines (engineering-only)

Multiple research methods

- Surveys
- Formal interviews
- Ethnographic interviews
- Ethnographic observations
- Scoping task

Participants

- Recruited in their first year
- 160 subjects (40/campus)
 - 32 ethnographic subjects (8/campus)
- Control Group
 - 160 subjects
- Diversity
 - Over sampling of underrepresented groups

Challenges

Multi-campus Effort

Multidisciplinary Team

- Multiple Methodology
 - Ethnographic vs formal interviews

Where we are now

- First year of data collected (freshmen)
- Data analysis begins this summer
 - 2 day meeting researcher's meeting in August to jointly look at data
- Analysis will be both quantitative and qualitative
- First year results will inform questions and methods for year 2
 - Same 160 students will participate in year 2 of the study

Acknowledgements

- Center for the Advancement of Engineering Education [NSF ESI-0227558]
- Contributing Team Members: Tori Bailey, Helen Chen, Angela Cole, Kimarie Engerman, Ozgur Eris, Lari Garrison, Ashley Griffin, Marvin Kendall, Heidi Loshbaugh, Kevin O'Connor, Tom Satwicz and Carmen Smith
- Project website http://www.engr.washington.edu/caee/